

Oral presentation evaluation sheet

Name: _____

	3 = excellent	2 = good	1 = adequate	0 = inadequate	Points
Content	An abundance of material clearly related to thesis; points are clearly made and all evidence supports thesis; varied use of materials	Sufficient information that relates to thesis; many good points made but there is an uneven balance and little variation	There is a great deal of information that is not clearly connected to the thesis	Thesis not clear; information included that does not support thesis	
Organization	Thesis is clearly stated and developed; specific examples are appropriate and clearly develop thesis; conclusion is clear; shows control; flows together well; good transitions; succinct but not choppy; well organized	Most information presented in logical sequence; generally very well organized but better transitions from idea to idea and medium to medium needed	Concept and ideas are loosely connected; lacks clear transitions; flow and organization are choppy	Presentation is choppy and disjointed; does not flow; development of thesis is vague; no apparent logical order of presentation	
Visuals	Visuals very effectively conveyed the research project because they satisfied these criteria: 1) content was relevant, 2) overall appearance was eye-pleasing, 3) font size and graphics were clear, contrasted well with background and easy to read/see, text minimal and necessary, 4) graphics relevant and clearly labeled.	Visuals used most key criteria.	Visuals used satisfied some key criteria.	Visuals used did not satisfy most key criteria.	

Creativity	Very original presentation of material; uses the unexpected to full advantage; captures audience's attention	Some originality apparent; good variety and blending of materials/media	Little or no variation; material presented with little originality or interpretation	Repetitive with little or no variety; insufficient use of multimedia	
					TOTAL

Comments: